





## EVALUATION FORM FOR ESSAYS TO PUBLISH ON XYJOURNAL

## www.xydigitale.it

ESSAY TITLE	
Proposed on	, XY number
Identification number _	
EDITORIAL REVIE	SW/
XY journal publishes th	ne only contributions that respect editorial standards, to be considered binding.
If the judgment express integrations and change	sed in the following fields is not fully positive, the author must make all indicated
	ords, and biographical note respect the limits
Bibliography and refe	erences are drawn up in accordance with the criteria set out in the journal
	□ PARTIALLY
•	
	e guidelines contained in editorial standards □ PARTIALLY
English version is con	mplete and written correctly
□ YES □ NO	□ PARTIALLY
Any comment:	
	equate quality and number, accompanied by relevant captions
	□ PARTIALLY







## **SCIENTIFIC REVIEW**

Each essay is evaluated by two anonymous reviewers. In case of conflicting opinion the text is sent to a third expert. In any case, the final decision is for the Director and the Scientific Committee of the journal. The following questions have the purpose of reminding the reviewer that XY welcomes in its pages specific thematic areas, with the aim of making possible their comparison. The judgment of merit must be expressed irrespective of whether the thinking positions can or may not be shared (it must be understood that: 1=noway, 5=totally).

Date of review:
The proposed theme belongs to XY's fields of interest
□ faces new theoretical and / or unpublished interdisciplinary connections
□ outlines new applied research procedures
□ exposes reflections on known experiences to rediscover and complete
Evaluation from 1 to 5:
Contents develop disciplinary fundamentals
□ with theoretical insights
□ in technical-methodological areas
□ with applications to concrete cases
Evaluation from 1 to 5:
The references mentioned in the text are relevant
□ because they carefully document the state of the art of research
□ thanks to the completeness of the bibliographic references
□ thanks to the suggestion of study paths to undertake
Evaluation from 1 to 5:
The iconographic apparatus enclosed to text is expressive and effective
□ thanks to the singularity and pertinence of the images
□ thanks to the communicative and aesthetic value of the images
Evaluation from 1 to 5:
Exposure uses a correct and clear language
□ and coherently articulates the scientific discourse (introduction, discussion of concepts, conclusions)
□ and convincingly presents the arguments making them accessible for dissemination purposes
Evaluation from 1 to 5:
The essay is published with the following judgment
□ YES □ NO □ WITH CHANGES □ WITH CHANGES AND FURTHER REVIEW
Comments, directions and motivations for the author of the essay (binding text, max 2000 credits)
Any comments for editorial staff (they will not be communicated to the author of the essay)